THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.5529 of 2007

Date:20.03.2007

Between:

K.Rama Rao

-------PETITIONER

AND

The Assistant Divisional Engineer,
Electricity Distribution-14,
APCPDCL, Hyderabad and another.

---------RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The petitioner is extended power supply under category L.T.II at H.No.1-11-256/B/1-2, Begumpet, Hyderabad. The officials of the respondents-Corporation caused an inspection on 06.03.2006 and are said to have noticed that the seal put to the meter was tampered. On the basis of this observation, the first respondent issued a letter, dated 09.03.2006, to the petitioner, stating that the electricity charges due to them, on account of the acts of pilferage are provisionally assessed at Rs.2,98,556/-. It was also indicated that in case, the petitioner wants the continuance of power supply, he must pay a sum of Rs.1,49,277.50 ps. The said letter is challenged in this Writ Petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The scope of controversy in this Writ Petition is very limited. The enquiry into the alleged acts of pilferage against the petitioner is pending. The first respondent made a provisional assessment of the value of the power said to have been pilfered. A Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.452 of 2004 had an occasion to examine the power of the respondents under Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003. It was held that the said provision does not enable the authorities to levy amounts, on the basis of provisional assessment, even while the enquiry is pending.

Following the same, the Writ Petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to continue the power supply to the petitioner, without insisting on payment of any amount towards provisional assessment. The liability of the petitioner, on account of the alleged acts of pilferage, would depend upon the outcome of the enquiry by the competent authority. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

20.03.2007

kdl

-